

TWO HALVES PRINT KIT

C01 role pages + facilitator key + 12-card print-cut prototype

FACILITATOR MASTER - KEEP PAGES 1, 2, AND 5

FIXED FRIDAY BASELINE

- Friday noon is fixed.
- Core 3h + mandatory handoff 1h = 4h.
- Optional polish 3h; only 5h available; full 7h impossible.
- Date movement is a separate authorized counterfactual.

HOW TO USE THIS KIT

START HERE

FACILITATOR MASTER - only pages 3 and 4 are participant handouts

1. SET THE ROOM

Give one participant page 3 (Role A) and the other page 4 (Role B). Do not let either player read the other role's private prompts.

Pages 1, 2, and 5 are facilitator-only. The two participant pages intentionally carry different information sets.

2. PLAY

Role A states the outcome brief. Role B states the capacity brief. Let the pair exchange one question and one fact before proposing a plan.

Neither person invents a resource, authority, or extra hour. The facilitator key contains the complete arithmetic and fixed-Friday baseline.

3. DEBRIEF

Use the facilitator key to check arithmetic, fixed-date clarity, authority, acceptance, and next action. Report a work state rather than a character judgment.

The 12 cards are a mixed print-cut prototype from the later situation-card family, not a complete 18-card member box or 30-card facilitator box.

PRINT ORDER

1. Cover and this instruction page. 2. Cut or hand out Role A and Role B separately. 3. Keep the facilitator key hidden until after the round. 4. Print-cut the 12-card prototype sheets if useful.

Hand out only this page to the named participant

ROLE A - OUTCOME HOLDER

Separate role page. Do not show the other participant your private prompts.

PAIR RULE

Read only your role brief below. The other role has a different information set.

YOUR PRIVATE BRIEF - OUTCOME HOLDER

Friday noon is fixed for the demo.

The demo needs core plus handoff. Polish is optional for the baseline.

You do not know the task durations or available capacity.

Ask: What can fit before Friday without assuming extra work?

Do not invent a helper, extra hour, tool, or authority. If someone proposes a date change, treat it as a separate authorized decision.

PRIVATE QUESTIONS TO CARRY

What must core and handoff contain for Friday acceptance?

What does the receiver need to accept the handoff?

What confirmation would make a later polish slot real?

YOUR NOTES

Hand out only this page to the named participant

ROLE B - CAPACITY HOLDER

Separate role page. Do not show the other participant your private prompts.

PAIR RULE

Read only your role brief below. The other role has a different information set.

YOUR PRIVATE BRIEF - CAPACITY HOLDER

You have 5h available.

Core takes 3h, handoff takes 1h, and polish takes 3h.

You do not know which parts are essential to the demo. No extra capacity has been authorised.

Ask: Which outcome and deadline are actually fixed?

Do not choose which component to drop. Offer a capacity fact and ask for an explicit scope, resource, or date decision.

PRIVATE RESPONSE MENU

1. State the 5h capacity and the three estimates clearly.
2. Ask which outcome and deadline are actually fixed.
3. If all three items are needed, ask for an explicit scope, resource, or date decision.

YOUR NOTES

Read after the pair exchange

CANONICAL FACTS

Friday noon is fixed. Core 3h + mandatory handoff 1h = 4h. Optional polish is 3h. Only 5h are available; full 7h is impossible.

The baseline answer is a Friday core + handoff package with optional polish later, subject to confirmation. Date movement is a separate authorized counterfactual.

LOOK FOR

Arithmetic preserved: 3h + 1h + 3h against 5h available.

Fixed Friday baseline remains clear; a changed date requires an authorized confirmation.

Mandatory core and handoff stay visible; no imaginary helper, resource, or permission.

The next action has an owner, acceptance rule, and time.

ACCEPTABLE OUTCOMES

A. Keep Friday noon: deliver core + handoff; record polish as pending with a confirmation step.

B. Preserve full scope: record a date-change request and identify the person who can authorize it. Do not act as though the date has moved.

C. Clarify acceptance: define the minimum core and handoff contents before choosing a package.

A new feature remains a separate request until scope, time, or date is explicitly changed.

REPLAY AND DEBRIEF

Replay: the date owner says Friday cannot move; ask what exact core and handoff contain.

Replay: a reviewer says polish is the only visible part; ask whether preference changes the fixed outcome and who can authorize movement.

Debrief in work states: 'core and handoff accepted; polish pending,' not a character judgment.

Twelve authored examples from the later situation-card family. This prototype does not promise the proposed 18-card member or 30-card facilitator box contents.

MIXED PROTOTYPE | 01 / 12

Card 01 - Friday-noon demo

TRIGGER

The demo is fixed for Friday at noon.

CONSTRAINTS

Five person-hours remain. Core takes three hours, a usable handoff takes one hour, and optional polish takes three hours. The seven-hour plan cannot fit.

TASK

Choose what ships, what waits, who owns the choice, and the acceptance rule for the receiver.

MIXED PROTOTYPE | 02 / 12

Card 02 - Scope request at 15:00

TRIGGER

A stakeholder adds one feature late in the day.

CONSTRAINTS

The date and current capacity are fixed. No extra person is available. Any additional resource needs explicit authorization.

TASK

Offer reduced or swapped scope with an authorized agreement. A changed date is a separate authorized counterfactual. State the consequence and decision owner.

MIXED PROTOTYPE | 03 / 12

Card 03 - Credit at the handoff

TRIGGER

A freelancer designed the structure; an employee owns the final demo.

CONSTRAINTS

The handoff note has three lines. There is no space for a full history.

TASK

Record contribution, final decision ownership, and where the fuller record can be found.

MIXED PROTOTYPE | 04 / 12

Card 04 - Boundary to a stakeholder

TRIGGER

A request is described as 'just a tiny change.'

CONSTRAINTS

The change takes one hour and displaces the planned review. The stakeholder has not named a priority.

TASK

Ask for the priority and state what moves if the change is accepted.

Twelve authored examples from the later situation-card family. This prototype does not promise the proposed 18-card member or 30-card facilitator box contents.

MIXED PROTOTYPE | 05 / 12

Card 05 - Receiver is unavailable

TRIGGER

The named receiver cannot review the file until tomorrow.

CONSTRAINTS

A downstream person needs a usable interim artifact today. Final approval cannot be implied.

TASK

Define what may be used provisionally, what remains open, and who owns tomorrow's decision.

MIXED PROTOTYPE | 06 / 12

Card 06 - Slash-role collision

TRIGGER

One teammate covers customer support and the handoff during the same hour.

CONSTRAINTS

Only one hour exists. The support queue cannot be abandoned.

TASK

Choose the smallest safe handoff, name the queue or task that waits, and set the next check-in condition.

MIXED PROTOTYPE | 07 / 12

Card 07 - Approval latency

TRIGGER

The approver returns thirty minutes before the promised release.

CONSTRAINTS

The file is ready for review but one material choice is still open. No silent approval is allowed.

TASK

State the provisional boundary and the exact question for the approver.

MIXED PROTOTYPE | 08 / 12

Card 08 - Quality threshold

TRIGGER

A demo has one reliable path and two unfinished enhancements.

CONSTRAINTS

Testing the reliable path takes forty minutes. Each enhancement takes thirty minutes. The demo starts in one hour.

TASK

Protect the reliable path, document the enhancements, and name the owner of the next decision.

Twelve authored examples from the later situation-card family. This prototype does not promise the proposed 18-card member or 30-card facilitator box contents.

MIXED PROTOTYPE | 09 / 12

Card 09 - Renegotiate the promise

TRIGGER

A buyer adds a requirement after the work plan is agreed.

CONSTRAINTS

The original date is fixed in the baseline. The new requirement is larger than the remaining capacity.

TASK

Offer reduced scope with authorized agreement, or request explicitly authorized additional resources. If a date change is considered, label it as a separate authorized counterfactual. Make the tradeoff visible.

MIXED PROTOTYPE | 10 / 12

Card 10 - Attribution line

TRIGGER

A shared deck needs one credit line before it is sent.

CONSTRAINTS

Three roles contributed: employee owner, freelance editor, and hybrid customer liaison. The line must fit one sentence.

TASK

Write a factual contribution line without assigning motives or erasing the decision owner.

MIXED PROTOTYPE | 11 / 12

Card 11 - Aftercare request

TRIGGER

A post-demo issue arrives after the planned work ends.

CONSTRAINTS

No response time was promised. One person can triage for twenty minutes before another commitment.

TASK

Define triage, escalation, and the next update condition without creating an open-ended obligation.

MIXED PROTOTYPE | 12 / 12

Card 12 - Debrief into a rule

TRIGGER

The team completed the case but cannot agree on what to repeat.

CONSTRAINTS

The debrief has five minutes and must avoid personal judgments.

TASK

Write one rule about constraints, scope, credit, boundaries, or handoffs and one observable example.